




INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁵ : G02B 27/28, G11B 7/135	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 95/00873 (43) International Publication Date: 5 January 1995 (05.01.95)
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/IB94/00170</p> <p>(22) International Filing Date: 23 June 1994 (23.06.94)</p> <p>(30) Priority Data: 9300661 25 June 1993 (25.06.93) BE 94201684.1 13 June 1994 (13.06.94) EP (34) Countries for which the regional or international application was filed: NL et al.</p> <p>(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V. [NL/NL]; Groenewoudseweg 1, NL-5621 BA Eindhoven (NL).</p> <p>(71) Applicant (for SE only): PHILIPS NORDEN AB [SE/SE]; Kottbygatan 7, Kista, S-164 85 Stockholm (SE).</p> <p>(72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): VAN DER WAL, Johannes [NL/NL]; Geldropse dijk 14, NL-5672 AC Nuenen (NL). OPHEY, Willem, Gerard [NL/NL]; Bree 16, NL-5641 PS Eindhoven (NL).</p> <p>(74) Agent: VISSER, Derk; Internationaal Octrooibureau B.V., P.O. Box 220, NL-5600 AE Eindhoven (NL).</p>	<p>(81) Designated States: CN, JP, KR, US, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).</p> <p>Published <i>With international search report.</i></p>	

(54) Title: POLARIZING BEAM SPLITTER AND MAGNETO-OPTIC READING DEVICE USING THE SAME

(57) Abstract

An optical polarizing beam splitter has a stack of thin layers (8-13) comprising a polarizing stack (8-10) adjacent to a compensating stack (11-13). The polarizing stack comprises a plurality of successive layers alternately having a first refractive index n_w and a second refractive index n_h . The compensating stack comprises a series of three successive layers of a quarter wavelength thickness and having refractive indices n_1 , n_2 and n_3 , respectively, complying with the relation $n_3 < n_2 < n_1$. The compensating stack causes the beam splitter to introduce a minimal phase difference between two mutually perpendicular directions of polarization of the transmitted or the reflected beam. The beam splitter is suitable for use in optical systems in which a diverging or converging light beam is to be split into given polarization components.



FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	GB	United Kingdom	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GE	Georgia	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BE	Belgium	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BG	Bulgaria	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BJ	Benin	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BY	Belarus	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
CZ	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DE	Germany	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
DK	Denmark	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	UZ	Uzbekistan
FR	France			VN	Viet Nam
GA	Gabon				

Polarizing beam splitter and magneto-optic reading device using the same.

The invention relates to a polarizing beam splitter for splitting an incident non-collimated light beam having a given wavelength into two sub-beams having mutually different states of polarization, which polarizing beam splitter has a substrate provided with a polarizing stack of thin layers. The invention also relates to a magneto-optic reading device
5 provided with such a beam splitter.

Polarizing beam splitters are suitable, *inter alia* for splitting an incident light beam into two sub-beams which are mutually perpendicularly polarized. Special polarizing beam splitters referred to as partially polarizing beam splitters (PPBS) split an incident light beam into two sub-beams each having a desired proportion of the quantity of light in each of
10 the two perpendicular directions of polarization.

A polarizing beam splitter of the type described in the opening paragraph is known from European Patent Application no. 0 336 334. This beam splitter comprises a polarizing stack of thin layers alternately having a high and a low refractive index. The first and the last layer of the stack are thinner than the intermediate layers in order to realise a
15 correct beam splitting ratio for light beams which are incident at angles deviating from the angle of incidence for which the beam splitter has been designed. Such a beam splitter may be positioned directly in a diverging light beam as emitted by a semiconductor diode laser. This eliminates the necessity of positioning a lens in front of the beam splitter for collimating the beam and leads to a more compact optical arrangement.

20 The use of a polarizing beam splitter as a beam separator in a magneto-optic reading device is known, *inter alia* from Japanese Patent Application no. 2-64917 (A). In this device a diverging light beam having a first direction of polarization generated by a diode laser is incident through the beam splitter on a lens system which focuses the beam on an information storage medium. The state of polarization of the beam reflected by the
25 medium is modulated by the information stored in the medium so that this beam does not only comprise a reasonably large quantity of light having said first direction of polarization but also a small, varying quantity of light having a second direction of polarization perpendicular to the first direction. The lens system converges the reflected beam. The beam splitter reflects a part of the beam reflected by the medium towards a detection system,

which converts the modulation of the beam into an electric detection signal representing the information which has been read. The beam splitter reflects all the light of the second direction of polarization and a part of the light of the first direction of polarization, so that the detected signal is amplified. However, it appears that the use of the beam splitter known
5 from said European Patent Application leads to a non-optimal detection signal in the reading device.

It is an object of the invention to provide a polarizing beam splitter with which an enhanced detection signal can be obtained.

According to the invention this object is achieved with a polarizing beam splitter
10 as described in the opening paragraph and characterized in that the polarizing beam splitter comprises compensating means for compensating retardation incurred by the light beam in passing the polarizing stack.

The inadequate quality of the detection signal in the reading device provided with the known beam splitter appears to be the result of a phase difference, caused by the
15 polarizing stack of this beam splitter, at the area of the detection system between the light of the first direction of polarization and the light of the second direction of polarization, in other words, a retardation which is unequal to zero. The retardation is dependent on the angle of incidence of the light on the polarizing stack. The beam splitter according to the invention solves this problem by arranging compensating means in the beam splitter, which
20 means introduces a retardation in the non-collimated beam of light with a sign opposite to that of the retardation introduced by the polarizing stack. The retardation introduced by the combination of the polarizing stack and the compensating means can then be made smaller than a few degrees over a large range of angles of incidence. The ratio of the quantity of light in a sub-beam of the first and the second direction of polarization is determined by the
25 choice of the refractive indices of the thin layers and of the substrate of the beam splitter. Use of a beam splitter according to the invention in a magneto-optic reading device leads to an enhanced quality of the detection signal.

In a preferred embodiment the compensating means comprise a compensating stack of thin layers adjacent to the polarizing stack. The distribution of the two functions of
30 polarizing and compensating over two separate stacks, i.e. a polarizing stack and a compensating stack, allows a great freedom of design. Each stack can be designed such as to perform its function in an optimum way.

The compensation of the retardation can be improved and the construction of the compensating stack simplified when the polarizing beam splitter comprises a further

compensating stack of thin layers adjacent to the polarizing stack. In this embodiment of the polarizing beam splitter the polarizing stack has a compensating stack on both sides.

In a preferred embodiment of the beam splitter according to the invention the polarizing stack comprises a plurality of successive layers alternately having a first refractive index n_w and a second refractive index n_h , the value of n_w being lower than the value of n_h . This polarizing stack can be designed for each desired polarization splitting ratio and can be easily manufactured.

The embodiments of the one or two compensating stacks of the beam splitter according to the invention can be divided in two classes. The compensating stack of the first class comprises a series of three successive layers having refractive indices n_1 , n_2 and n_3 , respectively, complying with the relation $n_3 < n_2 < n_1$. The layer of the series with the lowest refractive index should preferably face the polarizing stack for proper compensation. The retardation of a beam splitter with a compensating stack of the first class is rather insensitive to changes in the wavelength.

In the compensating stack of the first class the refractive indices of the layers preferably comply with the relations n_w is equal to n_3 , and n_h is equal to one of the two values n_1 and n_2 . A first embodiment of the combined stack comprising the polarizing stack and the compensating stack according to the invention therefore comprises layers having refractive indices: ... $n_1, n_2, n_3, n_1, n_3, n_1, n_3$...; *i.e.* a succession of layers having a high and a low refractive index, and between two of these layers having a high and a low refractive index an extra layer having a refractive index which is in between said two indices. A second embodiment of the combined stack comprises layers having refractive indices: ... $n_1, n_2, n_3, n_2, n_3, n_2, n_3$...; *i.e.* a succession of layers having a high and a low refractive index, with an extra layer having a refractive index which is higher than the high refractive index. Such stacks only comprise thin layers of three different materials and can therefore be readily made.

In a third embodiment of the compensating stack of the first class, which can also be readily made, the refractive indices of the layers comply with the relations n_w is equal to n_2 , and n_h is equal to n_1 . The layers then have the following refractive indices: ... $n_1, n_2, n_3, n_1, n_2, n_1, n_2$..., *etc.*, *i.e.* a succession of layers having a high and a low refractive index, with an extra layer having a refractive index which is lower than the low refractive index. The retardation of the combined stack can be minimized by proper selection of the refractive index used in the compensating stack which is not equal to n_w or n_h .

A satisfactory polarizing effect of the beam splitter is obtained if the optical thickness of each layer of the polarizing stack and of the compensating stack of the first class is equal to a quarter wavelength of the light beam. When the light beam diverges or converges, the optical thickness is designed for the central ray of the beam. Although other layer thicknesses are alternatively possible, an equal optical thickness of all layers has the advantage of simplicity of manufacture.

The compensating stack of the second class according to the invention comprises at least one layer having an optical thickness equal to a multiple of half wavelengths of the light beam. The layer, having a thickness of one, two or more times half a wavelength, has no effect on the retardation of the light beam at the angle of incidence for which the combined stack is designed. At other angles of incidence, however, the layer introduces retardation with a sign opposite to the sign of the retardation introduced by the polarizing stack. The retardation of the layer increases with increasing thickness of the layer. Hence, the compensation of the retardation of the polarizing stack can be adjusted by adjusting the thickness of the layer in steps of half a wavelength. A higher refractive index of the layer reduces the thickness required for the compensation.

When the refractive index of the at least one layer in the compensating stack of the second class is equal to one of the values n_w and n_h as used for the polarizing stack, only two different refractive indices are required for the manufacture of the stacks, thereby simplifying the manufacture of the combined stack.

According to the invention, a magneto-optic reading device for reading information stored on a magneto-optic record carrier is preferably provided with a radiation source supplying a radiation beam, a polarizing beam splitter according to the invention, which is arranged in the path of the radiation beam between the radiation source and the record carrier and in the path of the radiation beam reflected by the record carrier for separating the reflected radiation beam from the radiation beam supplied by the radiation source, and a detection system arranged in the path of the reflected radiation beam behind the beam splitter for deriving an information signal from this beam.

It is to be noted that United States Patent no. 4,733,926 discloses a polarizing beam splitter in which a polarizing stack comprises series of four successive layers with refractive indices n_2 , n_1 , n_2 and n_3 , respectively, and $n_3 < n_2 < n_1$. The first three layers of a series with refractive indices n_2 , n_1 , n_2 constitute a so-called Herpin triad, which operates as an equivalent layer with a refractive index different from n_1 and n_2 . The Herpin triad makes it possible to create an equivalent layer with a refractive index which cannot be

obtained with a single available material. The series of four layers operates as two successive layers, i.e. the equivalent layer and the layer with refractive index n_3 , as is customary in a polarizing stack. Hence, the three successive layers with refractive indices n_1 , n_2 and n_3 constitute an incidental sequence of layers arising from the use of the Herpin triad. The three
5 successive layers are not adjacent to the polarizing stack but are part of the polarizing stack, and do not reduce the retardation of the polarizing stack. Moreover, the optical thickness of each of the layers in the Herpin triad is not equal to a quarter wavelength.

It is further to be noted that United States Patent no. 4,431,258 discloses a beam splitter which is provided with a stack of a series of three successive thin layers having
10 increasing refractive indices. However, this beam splitter is a non-polarizing beam splitter in which several series of three successive layers have the function of increasing the reflection of one of the two perpendicularly polarized components of the incident light so that the two components acquire the same reflection. In contrast, the beam splitter according to the invention is a polarizing beam splitter, where a wanted unequal reflection of the two
15 components is obtained by the alternation of layers having a high and a low refractive index, while the series of three successive layers reduces the phase difference between the two polarization components in a sub-beam.

These and other aspects of the invention will be apparent from and elucidated with reference to the embodiments described hereinafter. In the drawings

20 Figure 1 shows a conventional polarizing beam splitter;

Figures 2a, 2b and 2c are graphic representations of the optical properties of a conventional beam splitter as a function of the angle of incidence, viz.

Figure 2a shows the reflection and transmission coefficients for the s- polarized light,

25 Figure 2b shows the reflection and transmission coefficients for the p-polarized light and

Figure 2c shows the phase difference between the s-polarized light and the p-polarized light in transmission;

Figure 3 shows a first embodiment of a combined stack according to the invention
30 having a compensating stack of the first class;

Figures 4a, 4b and 4c are graphic representations similar to those in Figures 2a, 2b and 2c, but this time for the stack shown in Figure 3;

Figure 5 shows a second embodiment of a combined stack according to the invention having a compensating stack of the first class;

Figures 6a and 6b are graphic representations similar to those in Figures 2a and 2b, but this time for the stack shown in Figure 5;

Figure 6c shows the phase difference between the s-polarized light and the p-polarized light in reflection for the stack shown in Figure 5;

5 Figure 7 shows an embodiment of a combined stack having a compensating stack of the first class on a planar plate;

Figures 8a, 8b and 8c are graphic representations similar to those in Figures 2a, 2b and 2c, but this time for the stack shown in Figure 7;

10 Figure 9 shows a first embodiment of a combined stack according to the invention having a compensating stack of the second class;

Figures 10a, 10b and 10c are graphic representations similar to those in Figures 2a, 2b and 2c, but this time for the stack shown in Figure 9;

15 Figures 11a, 11b and 11c are graphic representations similar to those in Figures 2a, 2b and 2c, but this time for a second embodiment of a combined stack having a compensating stack of the second class;

Figures 12a, 12b and 12c are graphic representations similar to those in Figures 2a, 2b and 2c, but this time for a third embodiment of a combined stack having a compensating stack of the second class;

20 Figure 13 shows a fourth embodiment of a combined stack according to the invention having a compensating stack of the second class on a planar plate;

Figures 14a, 14b and 14c are graphic representations similar to those in Figures 2a, 2b and 2c, but this time for the stack shown in Figure 13; and

Figure 15 shows a magneto-optic reading device provided with a beam splitter according to the invention.

25 Figure 1 shows a conventional polarizing beam splitter 1 in the form of a cube having a splitting face 2 at an angle of 45° to an entrance face 3. In the Figure the plane of incidence coincides with the plane of the drawing. A light beam 4 incident on the entrance face can be considered to be composed of a component polarized in the plane of incidence (direction p of polarization in the Figure) and a component polarized in a direction
30 perpendicular to the plane of incidence (direction s of polarization). The beam splitter splits the beam 4 into a transmitted sub-beam 5 and a reflected sub-beam 6. The beam splitter is designed for passing in transmission, *i.e.* from the incident beam 4 to the sub-beam 5, as much as possible of the incident light of the p polarization (a p transmission coefficient of 1) and 10% of the incident light of the s polarization (an s transmission coefficient of 0.1). To

this end a polarizing stack of five thin layers is provided on the splitting face, each layer having a thickness of a quarter of the wavelength for which the beam splitter has been designed. The refractive indices of the successive layers are: 1.95, 1.37, 1.95, 1.37, 1.95, while the refractive index of the cube material is 1.61. The optical thickness of each layer is equal to a quarter of the design wavelength at an angle of incidence α of 45° . The design wavelength is 785 nm.

Figure 2 shows the optical properties of the conventional beam splitter 1 as a function of the angle of incidence α of a parallel light beam 4 having a wavelength of 785 nm. Figure 2a shows the reflection coefficient R_s and the transmission coefficient T_s for the s-polarized component of the incident beam. Figure 2b shows the reflection coefficient R_p and the transmission coefficient T_p for the p-polarized component of the incident beam. At angles of incidence which differ from 45° , the transmissions T_s and T_p deviate from the design values 0.1 and 1, respectively. Figure 2c shows the phase difference PD between the s-polarized component and the p-polarized component in the transmitted sub-beam 5 and caused by the passage through the beam splitter. This parameter which is generally not specified for the conventional beam splitters should be zero so as not to disturb the phase relation between the polarization components in the transmitted light beam. At an angle of incidence of 45° the phase difference is indeed zero, but for a slightly larger or smaller angle of incidence the phase difference increases or decreases rapidly. For given applications, for example in a magneto-optic reading device, the phase difference should be smaller than 10° for all angles of incidence in a range of approximately 10° around 45° . The conventional beam splitter associated with Figure 2c has a phase difference ranging between -20° and more than $+20^\circ$ and is consequently unsuitable for such applications.

Since the beam splitter according to the invention is provided with a compensating stack of layers, this beam splitter does comply with said retardation condition. In a first class of embodiments according to the invention the compensating stack comprises three successive layers with a staircase profile for the refractive index.

A first embodiment of the beam splitter of the first class comprises a cube 1 having a splitting face 2 as shown in Figure 1. The combined stack comprising the polarizing stack and the compensating stack according to the invention, a cross-section of which is shown in Figure 3, is provided on the splitting face 2 of the cube, with the refractive index of the underlying substrate 7 being equal to 1.61. The six thin layers 8 to 13 of the combined stack each have a thickness of a quarter wavelength at an angle of incidence of 45° to the normal on the splitting face. The design wavelength is 785 nm. The refractive indices of the

layers 8 to 13 are 2.35, 1.37, 2.35, 1.37, 1.77 and 2.35. The first-mentioned three layers constitute the polarizing stack. The last-mentioned three layers in this stack, having an increasing refractive index, constitute the compensating stack according to the invention.

Another part of the cube, substrate 14, having a refractive index of 1.61 is secured to the

5 stack by means of an adhesive preferably having the same refractive index as the substrate.

The substrates 7 and 14 are made of the optical glass SK16 from the Schott catalog, the thin layers are made of Na_3AlF_6 (cryolite, $n = 1.37$), PbF_2 ($n = 1.77$) and ZnS ($n = 2.35$). The refractive indices are chosen to render the transmission of the p component as large as possible and to render the transmission of the s component to be 15%. Other values of the

10 reflection and transmission of the beam splitter may be obtained by different choices of the refractive indices of the thin layers. The light may enter the stack both from the side of substrate 7 and from the side of substrate 14.

Figures 4a and b show the variation of the reflection and transmission coefficients for the s and p-polarization components for the first embodiment of the beam splitter

15 according to the invention. The retardation between these components of the transmitted beam introduced by the beam splitter and shown in Figure 4c is smaller than 2° throughout the range of angles of incidence from 40° to 50° . The maximum value of the undulation in the retardation curve is determined by the value of the refractive index of layer 12, *i.e.* the middle layer in the compensating stack. The value should be between the refractive indices

20 of the two adjacent layers. The small retardation of the beam splitter renders it very suitable for use in a magneto-optic reading device.

A second embodiment of the beam splitter of the first class also comprises a cube having a splitting face 2' as shown in Figure 1. The combined stack according to the invention, a cross-section of which is shown in Figure 5, is provided on the splitting face 2' of the cube, with the refractive index of the underlying substrate 15 being 1.60. The twelve

25 thin layers 16 to 27 of this stack each have an optical thickness of a quarter wavelength at an angle of incidence of 45° to the normal on the splitting face. The design wavelength is 790 nm. The refractive indices of the layers 16 and 27 are 1.46, 2.30, 2.00, 1.46, 2.30, 1.46, 2.30, 1.46, 2.30, 1.46, 2.30 and 1.46, respectively. The three layers 17, 18 and 19 constitute the compensating stack according to the invention. Another part of the cube, substrate

30 28, having a refractive index of 1.60 is secured to the stack by means of an adhesive. The substrates 15 and 28 are made of the optical glass SK7 from the Schott catalog, the thin layers are made of SiO_2 ($n = 1.46$), ZrO_2 ($n = 2.00$) and TiO_2 ($n = 2.30$). The refractive

indices are chosen to render the reflection of the p component to be 20% and the reflection of the s component to be 100%.

Figures 6a and b show the variation of the reflection and transmission coefficients for the s and p polarization for the second embodiment of the beam splitter according to the invention. The retardation of the beam splitter for the reflected beam, shown in Figure 6c, is smaller than 4° in the range of the angle of incidence between 40° and 49° . The high reflection of the s component is also achieved by placing the low refractive index layer 16 against the high refractive index layer 17 of the compensating stack. The compensating stack according to the invention is preferably positioned at the beginning or the end of the combined stack, possibly with an additional single quarter-wavelength layer as a respective first or last layer such as, for example, layer 16. If the compensating stack is arranged in between the layers of the polarizing stack, Fabry-Perot effects will occur which will render the reflection and transmission coefficients considerably angle-dependent.

As is shown in Figure 1, the light beam 4 entering through the entrance face 3 of the cube 1 is deflected towards the normal on said face because the cube is made of a material having a refractive index which is larger than that of the medium outside the cube. Consequently, the divergence of an incident beam will be smaller within the cube than outside it. By manufacturing the cube of a material having a relatively high refractive index, the divergence of the incident beam in the cube is reduced considerably, hence the range of angles of incidence α with which rays of the beam are incident on the stack of layers will be reduced as well. A divergence angle of 5° in air is reduced to 3° in a material having a refractive index of 1.7. This alleviates the requirements which are imposed on the angle dependence of the optical properties of the beam splitter.

A third embodiment of the beam splitter of the first class comprises a planar plate 30 as shown in Figure 7. The combined stack is provided on a side 31 of the planar plate. The ten thin layers 32 to 41 of the stack, with layer 32 directly provided on side 31, each have an optical thickness of a quarter wavelength at an angle of incidence of 65° with respect to the normal on the side 31. The design wavelength is 790 nm. The refractive indices of the layers 32 to 41 are 2.00, 1.72, 1.46, 1.72, 1.46, 1.72, 1.46, 1.72, 1.46 and 2.00. The three layers 32, 33 and 34 constitute the compensating stack according to the invention. The planar plate 30 is made of amorphous quartz ($n = 1.46$), the thin layers are made of SiO_2 ($n = 1.46$), MgO ($n = 1.72$) and ZrO_2 ($n = 2.00$). The refractive indices are chosen to render the transmission of the p component as large as possible and to render the transmission of the

s component to be 20%. The compensating stack is preferably arranged between the planar plate and the polarizing stack for a proper compensation.

Figures 8a and b show the variation of the reflection and transmission coefficients for the s and p polarization for the third embodiment of the beam splitter shown in Figure 7.

- 5 Layer 41 yields an increase of R_s without influencing the retardation. If layer 41 has a refractive index of 1.72, R_s will be approximately 0.70, while R_p will be approximately 0.80 if the layer has a refractive index of 2.00. The retardation introduced by the beam splitter between the p and s components of the transmitted beam as shown in Figure 8c is smaller than 2° for angles of incidence in the range between 40° and 50° .

- 10 In a second class of embodiments of the beam splitter according to the invention the compensating stack comprises at least one layer having an optical thickness equal to a multiple of half wavelengths.

- A first embodiment of the beam splitter of the second class comprises a cube having a splitting face 2'' as shown in Figure 1. The combined stack according to the
15 invention, a cross-section of which is shown in Figure 9, is provided on the splitting face 2'' of the cube, with the refractive index of the underlying substrate 42 being equal to 1.61. The combined stack comprises a polarizing stack and a compensating stack on both sides of the polarizing stack. The polarizing stack comprises five thin layers 44 to 48, each having a thickness of a quarter wavelength at an angle of incidence of 45° to the normal on the
20 splitting face. The design wavelength is 790 nm. The refractive indices of the layers 44 to 48 are 1.47, 2.30, 1.47, 2.30 and 1.47, respectively. The first compensating stack comprises layer 43 having a thickness of one wavelength, the second compensating stack comprises layer 49 having a thickness of one and a half wavelength. The refractive indices of the layers 43 and 49 are both equal to 2.3. Another part of the cube, substrate 50, having a refractive
25 index of 1.61 is secured to the stack by means of an adhesive preferably having the same refractive index as the substrate. The substrates 42 and 50 are made of the optical glass SK16 from the Schott catalog, the thin layers are made of SiO_2 ($n = 1.47$) and TiO_2 ($n = 2.30$).

- Figures 10a and b show the variation of the reflection and transmission coefficients for the s and p-polarization components for the first embodiment of the beam
30 splitter according to the second class. The retardation between these components of the transmitted beam introduced by the beam splitter and shown in Figure 10c is smaller than 1.5° throughout the range of angles of incidence from 40° to 50° . A comparably good compensation may be achieved by an embodiment in which the combined stack is provided with only one instead of two compensating stacks; the single compensating stack must have a

layer with a thickness of four wavelengths to achieve the wanted low retardation. The embodiment shown in Figure 9 has the advantage that the two separate layers 43 and 49 of one wavelength thickness and of one and a half wavelength thickness, respectively, are easier to make than a single layer of four wavelengths thickness. When the two layers 43 and 49 each have a thickness of half a wavelength, a reasonable retardation compensation will be achieved, although not as good as the compensation of the embodiment shown in Figure 9. The refractive index of both layers 43 and 49 in the stack shown in Figure 9 is equal to the 2.3, which is the high refractive index as used in the polarizing stack. When layers 43 and 49 have a refractive index equal to 1.47, which is the low refractive index used in the polarizing stack, the retardation of the polarizing stack can also be compensated, but a larger thickness of layers 43 and 49 is required to obtain the same degree of compensation as when using layers having the high refractive index. Retardation compensation is also possible with layers having a refractive index not equal to the high or low refractive index used in the polarizing stack.

A second embodiment of the beam splitter of the second class has a stack configuration comparable to the one shown in Figure 9 with successive layers 43' to 49' between substrates 42' and 50'. The refractive indices of the components 42' to 50' are 1.7, 1.47, 2.30, 1.65, 2.30, 1.47, 2.30, 1.47 and 1.7 respectively. The thickness of each of the layers 44' to 48' forming a polarizing stack is a quarter wavelength; the thickness of each of the layers 43' and 49', each one forming a compensating stack, is half a wavelength. The substrates 42' and 50' are made of the optical glass SF1 from the Schott catalog, the thin layers are made of SiO_2 ($n = 1.47$), SiO_x ($n = 1.65$) and TiO_2 ($n = 2.30$). Layer 45' with a refractive index of 1.65 instead of the expected value of 1.47 changes the reflection and transmission coefficients of the combined beam stack while maintaining the low retardation.

Figures 11a and b show the variation of the reflection and transmission coefficients for the s and p-polarization components for the second embodiment of the beam splitter according to the second class. The retardation between these components of the transmitted beam introduced by the beam splitter and shown in Figure 11c is smaller than 2° throughout the range of angles of incidence from 40° to 50° . The deviation of the value of the refractive index of layer 45' from the value 1.47 allows the transmission of the s and p-polarization component to be equal to 20% and more than 95%, respectively.

A third embodiment of the beam splitter of the second class has a stack configuration comparable to the one shown in Figure 9 with successive layers 43'' to 49'' between substrates 42'' and 50''. The refractive indices of the components 42'' to 50'' are

1.61, 2.30, 1.47, 2.30, 1.47, 2.30, 1.47, 2.0 and 1.61 respectively. The thickness of each of the layers 44'' to 48'' forming a polarizing stack is a quarter wavelength; the thickness of each of the layers 43'' and 49'', each one forming a compensating stack, is half a wavelength and two wavelengths, respectively. The substrates 42'' and 50'' are made of the optical glass SK16 from the Schott catalog, the thin layers are made of SiO_2 ($n = 1.47$), ZrO_2 ($n = 2.00$) and TiO_2 ($n = 2.30$). Layer 49'' serves the purpose of both a compensating stack and an intermediate layer for releasing tension in the combined stack.

Figures 12a and b show the variation of the reflection and transmission coefficients for the s and p-polarization components for the second embodiment of the beam splitter according to the second class. The retardation between these components of the transmitted beam introduced by the beam splitter and shown in Figure 12c is smaller than 5° throughout the range of angles of incidence from 40° to 50° . The low retardation is maintained when the thickness of layer 49'' is changed by a multiple of half wavelengths.

A fourth embodiment of the beam splitter of the second class comprises a planar plate 51 as shown in Figure 13. The combined stack is provided on one side 52 of the planar plate. The stack comprises seven thin layers. The first one, layer 53, forms a compensating stack and is directly provided on side 52. The following six layers 54 to 59 of the stack form a polarizing stack. The six layers each have an optical thickness of a quarter wavelength at an angle of incidence of 45° with respect to the normal on the side 31, whereas layer 53 has a thickness of three wavelengths. The design wavelength is 790 nm. The refractive indices of layers 53 to 59 are 2.30, 1.47, 2.30, 1.47, 2.30, 1.47 and 2.30. The planar plate 30 is made of the glass SK16 ($n = 1.46$) from the Schott catalog, the thin layers are made of SiO_2 ($n = 1.47$) and TiO_2 ($n = 2.30$).

Figures 14a and b show the variation of the reflection and transmission coefficients for the s and p polarization for the third embodiment of the beam splitter according to the invention. The retardation introduced by the beam splitter between the p and s components of the transmitted beam as shown in Figure 8c is substantially smaller than 0.2° for angles of incidence in the range between 40° and 50° . The compensating stack must be located on the side of the substrate to obtain a good compensation. When the layer of the compensating stack has a refractive index equal to 1.47, the thickness of the layer must be five wavelengths in order to achieve the same compensation as with the layer having a refractive index 2.30 and a thickness of three wavelengths.

Figure 15 shows a magneto-optic reading device 60 with an associated record carrier 61. A radiation source 62, for example a diode laser generates a diverging radiation

beam 63, which is polarized in a direction perpendicular to the plane of the drawing, *i.e.* the s direction. The radiation beam is subsequently incident on a polarizing beam splitter 64 according to the invention. The combined stack of thin layers on the splitting face 65 of the beam splitter has the same structure as the stack shown in Figure 3. According to Figure 4a the beam splitter reflects 84% of the incident radiation beam towards an objective system 66 which subsequently focuses the beam on the record carrier 61. The radiation beam reflected from the record carrier is modulated by the information stored on the record carrier. The modulation is in the form of a small angular rotation of the direction of polarization of the beam, dependent on the information which has been read. The rotation becomes manifest as a p-polarized component in the mainly s-polarized reflected beam. The reflected beam is partially transmitted by the beam splitter 64 with a transmission of almost 100% for the p component and a transmission of 16% for the s component (see Figures 4a and b). The chosen ratio between the transmission of the p and s components results in the polarization rotation due to the information on the record carrier being amplified so that this rotation and hence the information signal can be better detected. The beam transmitted by beam splitter 64 is incident on a subsequent beam splitter 67, for example a Wollaston prism which splits the incident beam into two mutually perpendicularly polarized beams 68, 69 having different directions of propagation. These two beams are each detected by separate elements of a detection system 70 and converted into electric signals. An information signal representing the information which has been read can be derived from the electric signals.

For a satisfactory detection it is necessary that the p and s components, transmitted by the beam splitter 64, of the reflected beam are not subject to a large mutual phase difference or retardation in the beam splitter. In the beam splitter according to the invention this is realised by a special stack of thin layers on the splitting face of the beam splitter, so that even a converging beam, as in the reading device shown, experiences only a small retardation.

The embodiments of the beam splitter shown in Figures 5, 7, 9 and 13 are also usable in a magneto-optic reading device. The embodiments shown in Figures 5 and 13 should be used in a device in which the beam splitter reflects the beam from the record carrier towards the detection system. The embodiments shown in Figures 7 and 9 should be used in a device in which the beam splitter transmits the beam from the record carrier towards the detection system.

The beam splitter according to the invention can be used to advantage in all applications in which a polarizing beam splitter is placed in a converging or diverging beam,

and in which no large phase differences between the p and s components should occur in the reflected or transmitted beam. Such applications are, for example polarization measuring apparatus and polarization detection means used in optical telecommunication.

CLAIMS:

1. A polarizing beam splitter for splitting an incident non-collimated light beam having a given wavelength into two sub-beams having mutually different states of polarization, which polarizing beam splitter has a substrate provided with a polarizing stack of thin layers, characterized in that the beam splitter comprises compensating means for
5 compensating retardation incurred by the light beam in passing the polarizing stack.
2. A polarizing beam splitter as claimed in Claim 1, characterized in that the compensating means comprise a compensating stack of thin layers adjacent to the polarizing stack.
3. A polarizing beam splitter as claimed in Claim 2, characterized in that the
10 polarizing beam splitter comprises a further compensating stack of thin layers adjacent to the polarizing stack.
4. A polarizing beam splitter as claimed in Claim 1, 2 or 3, characterized in that the polarizing stack comprises a plurality of successive layers alternately having a first refractive index n_w and a second refractive index n_h , the value of n_w being lower than the value of n_h .
- 15 5. A polarizing beam splitter as claimed in Claim 2 or 3, characterized in that the compensating stack comprises a series of three successive layers having refractive indices n_1 , n_2 and n_3 , respectively, complying with the relation $n_3 < n_2 < n_1$.
6. A polarizing beam splitter as claimed in Claim 4 and 5, characterized in that the refractive indices of the layers comply with the relations n_w is equal to n_3 , and n_h is equal to
20 one of the two values n_1 and n_2 .
7. A polarizing beam splitter as claimed in Claim 4 and 5, characterized in that the refractive indices of the layers comply with the relations n_w is equal to n_2 , and n_h is equal to n_1 .
8. A polarizing beam splitter as claimed in any one of the preceding Claims,
25 characterized in that each layer of the polarizing stack and the compensating stack has an optical thickness equal to a quarter wavelength of the light beam.
9. A polarizing beam splitter as claimed in Claim 2, 3 or 4, characterized in that the compensating stack comprises at least one layer having an optical thickness equal to a multiple of half wavelengths of the light beam.

10. A polarizing beam splitter as claimed in Claim 4 and 9, characterized in that the at least one layer has a refractive index equal to one of the two values n_w and n_h .

11. A polarizing beam splitter as claimed in any one of the preceding Claims, suitable for parts of an incident light beam whose angle of incidence on the polarizing stack ranges
5 between 20° and 80° .

12. A polarizing beam splitter as claimed in any one of the preceding Claims, characterized in that the beam splitter has a further substrate such that the polarizing stack is arranged between the two substrates.

13. A magneto-optic reading device for reading information stored on a magneto-optic
10 record carrier, which device comprises a radiation source supplying a radiation beam, a polarizing beam splitter as claimed in any one of the preceding Claims, which is arranged in the path of the radiation beam between the radiation source and the record carrier and in the path of the radiation beam reflected by the record carrier for separating the reflected radiation beam from the radiation beam supplied by the radiation source, and a detection
15 system arranged in the path of the reflected radiation beam behind the beam splitter for deriving an information signal from this beam.

1/11




FIG. 1




FIG. 15

2/11




FIG. 2a




FIG. 2b




FIG. 2c

3/11




FIG. 3




FIG. 5




FIG. 7

4/11




FIG. 4a




FIG. 4b




FIG. 4c

5/11




FIG. 6a




FIG. 6b




FIG. 6c

6/11




FIG. 8a




FIG. 8b




FIG. 8c

7/11




FIG.9




FIG.13




FIG. 10a




FIG. 10b




FIG. 10c




FIG.11a




FIG.11b




FIG.11c




FIG.12a




FIG.12b




FIG.12c




FIG.14a




FIG.14b




FIG.14c

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IB 94/00170

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC5: G02B 27/28, G11B 7/135

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC5: G02B, G11B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

WPAT, CLAIMS, USPM

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US, A, 4966438 (JACQUES MOUCHART ET AL), 30 October 1990 (30.10.90) --	1-12
A	US, A, 4733926 (ALAN TITLE), 29 March 1988 (29.03.88) --	1-12
A	Patent Abstracts of Japan, Vol 14, No 249, P-1053, abstract of JP, A, 2-64917 (NHK SPRING CO LTD), 5 March 1990 (05.03.90) --	13

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.☒ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

18 October 1994

Date of mailing of the international search report

20 -10- 1994

Name and mailing address of the ISA/

Swedish Patent Office

Box 5055, S-102 42 STOCKHOLM

Facsimile No. +46 8 666 02 86

Authorized officer

Bertil Dahl

Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IB 94/00170

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A,P	EP, A1, 0601862 (OMRON CORPORATION), 15 June 1994 (15.06.94) ----- -----	1-13

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
Information on patent family members

01/10/94

International application No.

PCT/IB 94/00170

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US-A- 4966438	30/10/90	AU-A- 3250889 CA-A- 1304971 DE-D, T- 68911184 EP-A, B- 0336334 FR-A, B- 2629924 JP-A- 1315704	12/10/89 14/07/92 07/04/94 11/10/89 13/10/89 20/12/89
US-A- 4733926	29/03/88	NONE	
EP-A1- 0601862	15/06/94	NONE	